

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,623	OEFNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sally A. Sakelaris	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Sally A. Sakelaris.

(2) Edward Baba.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was told that following a new search of applicant's amended claims, their own art(i.e. Shen et al. PNAS 2000) would be applied as a 102(a) rejection unless applicant filed a Katz-type declaration under 1.132.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)